UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/562,165 | 12/23/2005 | Michael Hoetger | 25610.PCT.US | 7657 |
| 20551 7590 08/13/2008 THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, LLP. P.O. Box 1219 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NGUYEN, HOANG M | |
| SANDY, UT 84091-1219 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3748 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/13/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/562,165 | HOETGER, MICHAEL | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Hoang M. Nguyen | 3748 | | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on | the cover sheet with the correspondence address | |
|--|--|-----|
| THE REPLY FILED <u>30 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION | ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with | (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places th | е |
| for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 periods: | 14. The reply must be filed within one of the following time | • |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory | | l m |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than | Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. If CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which | | ^ |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene | | |
| set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thr | | |
| may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | |
| <u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2. | with 37 CEP 41 37 must be filed within two months of the date of | f |
| filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on Abrief in compilation with filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the | ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since | |
| AMENDMENTS | and period detroiting of the fine (a). | |
| | to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerate | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or | for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspondent NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | onding number of finally rejected claims. | |
| 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See | attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). | | е |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | an an the date of filling a Nicking of Annual will not be entared | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffici- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | t |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice | e of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be | |
| entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was | e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a | |
| 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the | status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does I I'ts very clear that the applied references teach the concept of n | | |
| 12. DNote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S | 3/08) Paper No(s) | |
| 13. | | |
| | /Hoong M Nguyan/ | |
| | /Hoang M Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748 | |
| | i illiary Examilier, Art Offit 3/40 | |
| | | |



Application No.